UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/576,908	12/18/2006	Amar Lulla	PAC/23361 US (4137-00700)	9899	
30652 CONLEY ROS	7590 06/22/201 E, P.C.	1	EXAMINER		
5601 GRANITE PARKWAY, SUITE 750			PURDY, KYLE A		
PLANO, TX 75	0024		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1611		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/22/2011	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/576,908	LULLA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	KP	1611	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence addre	ss
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a  d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC  ate, cause the application to become the	ICATION.  Treply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this commandation (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24</u> 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ Th  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	ris action is non-final.	•	erits is
Disposition of Claims			
<ul> <li>4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7,10-16 and 18-20 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) 18-20 is/are withdra</li> <li>5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 and 10-16 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) 16 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and.</li> </ul>	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.	ccepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyanction is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1	, ,
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in iority documents have bee au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Sta	ge
Attachment(s)	_		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application 	

Application/Control Number: 10/576,908 Page 2

Art Unit: 1611

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of t/e previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 8/24/2010 has been entered.

## Status of Application

- 2. The Examiner acknowledges receipt of the arguments filed on 8/24/2010.
- 3. Claims 1-7 and 10-16 are presented for examination on the merits. The following rejections are made.

# Response to Applicants' Arguments

- 4. Applicants arguments filed 8/24/2010 regarding the rejection of claims 1-7 and 10-16 made by the Examiner under 35 USC 103(a) over Wain (WO 0045795) in view of Foldvari (PSST, 2000) have been fully considered but they are not found persuasive and are **MAINTAINED** for the reasons of record in the office action mailed on 4/30/2010.
  - 5. In regards to the 103(a) rejection, Applicant asserts the following:
- A) Wain does not teach the feature of the composition comprising about 70% ethanol.

  Moreover, Page 3 of Wain teaches away from using more than 70% ethanol as Wain states that 
  "the compositions [disclosed therein] will contain at most about 60% ethanol."
- 6. In response to A, it is acknowledges that Wain states that their compositions will contain at most about 60% ethanol. However, this is for their compositions "in general". It's the position of the Examiner that Wain's recitation of "in general" allows for composition which may

Application/Control Number: 10/576,908 Page 3

Art Unit: 1611

comprise more than their preferred 60% by weight of ethanol. Thus, while a composition comprising more than 60% ethanol may not have been preferred, one in possession of Wain would have identified a composition comprising a vehicle of at least 70% of ethanol as a viable vehicle for carrying and delivering the transdermal spray formulation. Disclosed examples and preferred embodiments do not constitute a teaching away from a broader disclosure or non-preferred embodiments. See MPEP 2123(II). Moreover, the use of patents as reference is not limited to what the patentees describe as their own inventions or to the problems with which they are concerned. They are part of the literature of the art, and are relevant for all that they contain. See MPEP 2123(I).

### Claim Objections

7. Claims 16 is objected to because of the following informalities: claim 16 recites, "wherein the optional penetration enhancer, when present, is different to ethanol". This should be changed to different "from" or "than" ethanol.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/576,908

Art Unit: 1611

9. Claims 1-7 and 10-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wain et al. (WO 00/45795; of record, see IDS) in view of Foldvari (PSTT, 2000, 3(12), 417-425).

Page 4

10. Wain is drawn to a topical medicinal spray composition which comprises one or medicaments in a non-aqueous vehicle and one or more film forming polymers (see abstract). The spray is to comprise one or more medicaments from about 0.1% to 25% by weight wherein the medicament may be a steroid such as estradiol and/or testosterone (see page 5, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph). Example 12 discloses a sample spray formulation which comprises the pharmaceutically active agent estradiol at a concentration of 1 % w/w, the film forming polymers PVP-VA (i.e. polyvinylpyrrolidone-vinyl acetate copolymer, VP/VA, see STN search results) at a concentration of 4 % w/w, the anti-nucleating agent PVP K-30 (polyvinylpyrrolidone, see STN search results) at a concentration of 6 % w/w and 83% of a non-aqueous vehicle (solvent component) (acetone + methylene chloride + ethanol). Claim 3 of Wain teaches the solvent (vehicle) may be present in an amount up to 90% wherein the vehicle is defined as non-aqueous solvent, wherein said solvent is preferably acetone, isopropyl alcohol, methylene chloride, methyl-ethylketone, absolute alcohol, ethyl acetate, trichloromonofluoromethane or methylene dimethyl ether (see claim 18). Thus, it would have been obvious to use at least 70% by weight ethanol in a transdermal spray formulation as Wain teaches that the solvent vehicles can be used and interchanged. The composition of Example 12 also comprises polyethylene glycol 6000 and polyethylene glycol at a concentration of 2 % and 3 % w/w/, respectively for a total of 5%. It is taught in the specification of Wain that polyethylene glycols are polyhydric alcohols, which in turn are skin permeation enhancers (see page 7, 5<sup>th</sup> paragraph and page 8, 3<sup>rd</sup> paragraph).

Application/Control Number: 10/576,908

Art Unit: 1611

11. Wain fails to teach transdermal permeation enhancers as being selected from menthol, dimethylisosorbide, glycerylmonooleate and myristyl lactate.

Page 5

- 12. Foldvari is a review article drawn to non-invasive administration of drugs through the skin. It is taught that menthol (terpene) is a useful penetration enhancer which acts by disrupting intercellular lipid orders (see instant claim 7). Moreover, addition of menthol to skin increases net electrical conductivity which indicates the opening of polar pathways in the stratum corneum and allows for simplifying the passage of active agents (see Table 1, page 420).
- 13. Therefore, one ordinarily skilled in the art, at the invention was made would be motivated to modify the teaching of Wain such that the transdermal spray formulation (particularly that of Example 12) comprising 1% w/w estradiol (a pharmaceutically active agent), between 0.1% to about 5.0% VP-VA copolymer, at least 70% of ethanol and permeation enhancer, wherein the permeation enhancer is selected from one of menthol, dimethylisosorbide, glycerylmonooleate and myristyl lactate, as taught by Foldvari, with a reasonable expectation in providing improved topical permeation benefit to the composition of Wain. As Wain suggests using a penetration enhancer in their composition, it follows that employing any penetration enhancer would be useful so long as it fulfills the role of performing its function, i.e. improved drug movement across skin barrier. With respect to the amount of ethanol in the composition being at least 70% by weight, this is also obvious. First, Wain teaches that the vehicle (ethanol) comprise up to 90% by weight of the spray composition. Second, the Examples of Wain (see Example 12, for instance) use three different solvents (acetone + ethanol + methylene chloride) in an amount of 83%, all of which are taught as useful/functionally similar solvents for carrying the composition. It would not have been innovative to substitute out methylene chloride and acetone for ethanol

Application/Control Number: 10/576,908 Page 6

Art Unit: 1611

and arrive at a composition comprising 83% of ethanol. Such a modification would have been

obvious and any person of ordinary skill and common sense could have performed such with a

reasonable expectation for success in arriving at a composition with excellent

evaporative/delivery properties. Therefore, the invention as a whole is prima facie obvious to

one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, as evidenced by the references,

especially in absence of evidence to the contrary.

Conclusion

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Kyle A. Purdy whose telephone number is 571-270-3504. The

examiner can normally be reached from 9AM to 5PM.

15. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Sharmila Landau, can be reached on 571-272-0614. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

16. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/K P/

Examiner, Art Unit 1611

June 16, 2011

/Allison M. Ford/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1653

Application/Control Number: 10/576,908

Page 7

Art Unit: 1611